

Poster Presentations – Tuesday 29th September – Prestonfield Room

Author	Abstract title
<i>Avenell A, Stewart F, Robertson C</i>	Preventing and treating obesity – evidence for mismatch disease burden, research funding and randomised trials
<i>C Blewett</i>	Learning from error – An analysis of breaches reported to NHS Research Ethics Committee
<i>Brady MC, Ali M, Bernhardt J, Drummond A, English C, Hoffmann T et al</i>	Reduce, reuse, recycle: the challenges and benefits of data archiving, sharing and secondary analysis for informing future stroke rehabilitation trials
<i>Choi J, Jun JH, Kim KH, Lee MS</i>	Endorsement for improving the quality of reports on randomised controlled trials of traditional medicine journals in Korea: A systematic review
<i>De Castro P, Bravo E, Calzolari A, Cambon-Thomsen A, Mabile L., Napolitani F et al</i>	CoBRA, a guideline to standardize citation of bioresources: a contribution towards reducing waste in research
<i>Dirnagl U, Przesdzing I</i>	Electronic laboratory notebooks in the academic life sciences: overdue
<i>Dreier G, Werner JA, Loehler J</i>	The German Society of Oto-Rhino-Laryngology, Head and Neck Surgery aims together with the German Association of ENT physicians at identifying and filling existing evidence gaps by founding a national ENT Clinical Trials Unit
<i>Grittner U, Neumann K, Piper SK, Siegerink B, Dirnagl U</i>	Increasing the efficiency of pre-clinical research by sequential trial designs and Bayesian inference
<i>Hall DA, Sereda M, Hoare DJ on behalf of the COMiT initiative (EU COST Action BM1306)</i>	Developing a global consensus on outcome measures for clinical trials in tinnitus: the COMiT initiative (Core Outcome Measures in Tinnitus)
<i>Henriksen M, Christensen R</i>	Avoiding the “Waste Quadrant” when designing a study: A practical tool to increase the value of individual research projects
<i>Hoare DJ, Sereda M, Hall DA</i>	Defining and responding to the tinnitus research agenda
<i>Keil I, Spelsberg A</i>	Post-Marketing Non-Intervention Studies in Germany - Research or Corruption?
<i>Molnar S, Kreis J, Sauerland S</i>	Coverage-with-evidence-development (CED) as a way towards high-quality clinical trials: the German approach
<i>Morfeld P, Shaw DM, Erren TC</i>	An application of game theory to improve research within the publish-or-perish paradigm
<i>van Oort E, Diemel R, Verhave J, Stax A, Kenter M</i>	Synthesis of Evidence and publishing solid unanticipated (negative/neural) findings part of Dutch National More Knowledge with Fewer Animals programme
<i>Scherer RW, Saldanha IJ, Parlett L, Dickersin K</i>	Do trial registers close the gap in finding RCT results reported in conference abstracts?
<i>Scudeller L, Kern W, Klersy C, Manzoni F, Scotti V, De Silvestri A on behalf of the European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases working group on bloodstream infections and sepsis (ESGBIS)</i>	The BISON (Bloodstream Infections and Sepsis Outcomes measurement Network) initiative: systematic overview of outcomes used in systematic reviews on interventions for sepsis and/or bloodstream infections
<i>Sharma T, Jensen LS, Freund N, Gotzsche PC</i>	Undertaking systematic reviews using clinical study reports: antidepressants
<i>Shaw DM, Groß JV, Erren TC</i>	Data donation after death
<i>Shaw DM</i>	Where do reviews go when they die? The need for a database of peer reviews of unpublished papers
<i>Shokraneh F, Adams CE</i>	Potentials of Registers of Randomized Controlled Trials in Automating the Systematic Reviews
<i>Strech D</i>	Systematic analysis and synthesis of normative information. Modified standards and reporting guidelines needed?
<i>Westmore M, Philpots L</i>	Getting the most value out of clinical research

Poster Presentations – Wednesday 30th September – Prestonfield Room

Author	Abstract title
<i>Agha RA, Gundogan B, Whitehurst K, Fowler AJ</i>	The Research Registry - Answering the call to register every research study involving human participants – presented by Harkiran Sagoo
<i>Aizpuru F, Parraza N, Sáez de Lafuente A, López-Picado A, Cobos R, Miranda E et al</i>	Toolkit to help clinicians and institutions to maximize the quality and utility of clinical research
<i>Altman D, Gargon E, Williamson P, Gorst S, Clarke M, Blazeby J et al</i>	An update to the COMET Initiative database of core outcome sets
<i>Banzi R, Gerardi C, Bertele' V, Demotes-Mainard J, Carnè X, Gluud C et al</i>	Academic clinical trials design and conduct: the ECRIN experience
<i>Banzi R, Ohmann C, Kubiak C, Blomberg N, Demotes-Mainard J</i>	Promote access to individual participant-level data from academic clinical trials
<i>Baranyiová E, Hruška K, Holub A</i>	Science publishing in veterinary medicine and present challenges
<i>Blümle A, Schandelmaier S, Oeller P, Kasenda B, Briel M, von Elm E</i>	Premature discontinuation of studies approved by research ethics committees – a comparison of randomized and non-randomized clinical studies
<i>Chappell FM, Valdes Hernandez MC, Makin SD, Shuler K, Sakka E, Dennis MS et al</i>	White matter hypertension (WMH) are associated with stroke and other morbidities. There is increasing interest in using WMH as an outcome in stroke trials.
<i>Christensen R, Gudbergesen H, Lund H, Menriksen M</i>	MAPPING THE VALUE STREAM ILLUSTRATES WHEN TO PERFORM DATA ANALYSIS IN A RESEARCH PROJECT: A conceptual model based on lean management principles to reduce statistical misconduct
<i>De Silvestri A, Scudeller L, Curti M, Abele P, Tinelli C, Scotti V</i>	Alternative metrics: measuring research waste from the “lay” perspective
<i>Jena S, Kunzweiler K</i>	The German Clinical Trials Register (DRKS) and its data quality compared to other trial registries
<i>Klersy C, Scudeller L, De Silvestri A, Fiocchi C, Tinelli C</i>	One size does not fit all: adherence to STROBE guidelines in sample size calculations from the perspective of an Ethical Committee
<i>Ko H, Smith E, Zhang L, Hunter K, Tan-Koay A, Vu T et al</i>	Variation in data structures across four international clinical trial registries
<i>Krleža-Jerić K, Mahmić-Kaknjo M, Malički M, Reveiz L, Gabelica M, Utrobičić A</i>	Observatory of trials data sharing as a tool to overcome waste- IMPACT Observatory
<i>Krawczak M, Semler SC, Schuett A</i>	TMF – a nationwide platform to increase the quality and efficiency of biomedical research in Germany
<i>Martino L</i>	Increasing value of statistical analysis enhancing reporting: an EFSA Guidance
<i>Marušić A, Sambunjak D, Squazzoni F; for PEERE Consortium</i>	What research questions to ask about peer review and waste in research reporting? Pragmatic conceptual framework from COST PEERE Action
<i>Milan S, Spencer S, Evans D</i>	Promoting evidence-based practice through a Cochrane support network
<i>Mould A</i>	Continuous Improvement: Increasing value and reducing waste in research design and delivery
<i>Nankervis H, Devine A, Williams HC, Ingram JR, Doney E, Delamere F et al</i>	Validation of the global resource of eczema trials (GREAT database)
<i>Patil S, Potoglou D, Pollitt A, Guthrie S, King S, Burge P et al</i>	Valuing the impact of biomedical research in the UK: Contrasting the views biomedical researchers and the general public
<i>Sprosen T, Lang T, Lane S, Chalmers I, Collins R</i>	The risks of uncertainty: Making the case to make it much easier to do randomised trials
<i>Yarborough M</i>	A Strategy for Increasing the Research Community's Commitment to Quality Improvement
<i>Yarborough M</i>	Why quality considerations of preclinical research should be more transparent to research ethics committees and research participants

